



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,254	01/04/2002	Thierry Valet	60559-301701	9462
		EXAMINER		
PATENT ATTORNEY PERKINS COIE LLP			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Non-Compliant	10/040,254	VALET, THIERRY			
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit			
,	Jeff Piziali	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
The amendment document filed on <u>24 October 2007</u> is or requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the an item(s) is required.	considered non-compliant becaus nendment document to be compli	se it has failed to meet the ant, correction of the following			
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include B. New paragraph(s) should not be unde C. Other	markings.	BE NON-COMPLIANT:			
2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 B. Other	7 CFR 1.72.				
 ☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings: ☐ A. The drawings are not properly identified "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 (☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed downward showing amended figures, without material C. Other 	CFR 1.121(d). rawing correction has been elimir	nated. Replacement drawings			
4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is B. The listing of claims does not include t C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. No number by using one of the following: (Previously presented), (New), (Not element) D. The claims of this amendment paper to E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	the text of all pending claims (incl in the proper status identifier, and ote: the status of every claim mus status identifiers: (Original), (Curr intered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdra	as such, the individual status st be indicated after its claim ently amended), (Canceled), awn-currently amended).			
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or n See Continuation Sheet	ot signed in accordance with 37 (CFR 1.4):			
For further explanation of the amendment format require	ed by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP §	714.			
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:					
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 					
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, where correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of (including a submission for a request for continued amendment filed within a suspension period under 3 Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are chestinon-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CF	of the following: a preliminary ame examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an an ecked, the correction required is c	endment, a non-final amendment (1.114), a supplemental nendment filed in response to a			
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR amendment or an amendment filed in response to		t amendment is a non-final			
Failure to timely respond to this notice will resu Abandonment of the application if the non-co filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compl amendment.	mpliant amendment is a non-fina				
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telepho	ne No.			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		Part of Paper No. 20071231			

Part of Paper No. 20071231

Continuation of 4(e) Other:

The Applicant is thanked for the 'Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' (filed 24 October 2007). However, plural seemingly non-compliant issues have been discovered in the paper, requiring attention before examination may continue.

C.F.R. § 1.121(c)(2) requires, "All claims being currently amended in an amendment paper shall be presented in the claim listing, indicate a status of 'currently amended,' and be submitted with markings to indicate the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version of the claims. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strike-through cannot be easily perceived."

The 'Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' (filed 24 October 2007) improperly uses strike-through to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters when strike-through cannot be easily perceived (e.g., see Page 3; Claim 9, Line 2; and Claim 10, Line 3).

The applicants are respectfully reminded to use double brackets to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters, as required by C.F.R. § 1.121.

Continuation of 5 Other:

The previous 'Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' (mailed 24 July 2007) found the 'Remarks/Arguments' section of the 'Amendment' (filed 26 September 2005) to be non-compliant.

The examiner thanks the Applicants for responding by now submitting additional remarks/arguments in the 'Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' (filed 24 October 2007).

However, the 'Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' (filed 24 October 2007) appears to be designed as an addendum to the non-complaint 'Remarks/Arguments' section of the 'Amendment' (filed 26 September 2005). This is improper.

Because the 'Remarks/Arguments' section of the 'Amendment' (filed 26 September 2005) was deemed non-compliant, the entire content of this section is no longer recognized as a part of the official prosecutorial record. Therefore, the examiner cannot consider, examine, or respond to the non-complaint 'Remarks/Arguments' section of the 'Amendment' (filed 26 September 2005).

The 'Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' should function as a full replacement of the non-complaint 'Remarks/Arguments' section of the 'Amendment' (filed 26 September 2005).

Therefore, the applicant is respectfully encouraged to submit at least a new corrected 'Remarks/Arguments' section which incorporates the content of the 'Remarks/Arguments' section of the 'Amendment' (filed 26 September 2005) along with the corrected content of the 'Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' (filed 24 October 2007).

By such reasoning, this Notice of Non-Compliance is deemed necessary and proper at this time.

Please note: The response not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible non-compliance errors. If additional issues of non-compliance are discovered at the time of a subsequent response, yet another Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment will be necessitated. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any other errors of which Applicant may become aware.

Jeff Piziali

31 December 2007